



DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2800

SEP 12 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Evaluation of International Military Education and Training (IMET),
DSCA Policy 07-34 [SAMM E-Change 87]

The importance of the IMET program in pursuing U.S. national security and foreign policy objectives is well recognized throughout the inter-agency and with Congress. As such it has been historically supported both financially and programmatically. As we enter challenging budget years, it is critical to demonstrate the value of IMET in tangible ways to maintain that support. However, the key objectives of the IMET program; encouraging effective, positive defense relationships, promoting interoperability with U.S. and coalition forces, and exposing foreign civilian and military officials to democratic values, civil-military relations, military professionalism, and international norms of human rights are in many ways difficult to measure.

Past indicators of success often cited for the IMET program include the promotion of IMET students to key positions in their own governments, and facilitation of support during a crisis situation. Unfortunately, much of the evidence to date has been ad hoc and anecdotal. While these measures have been used in the past both Departments of State (DoS) and Defense (DoD) felt a more objective measure was necessary in measuring the IMET program's accomplishments. To correct this deficiency and ensure that key objectives are indeed being met, an automated assessment tool for students completing Security Cooperation Education and Training courses has been developed. This tool will be used to evaluate the impact the IMET program has on foreign countries and their international military students in order to identify weaknesses in the IMET program, assist in funding decisions and measure the program's performance.


The Department of State, in coordination with DSCA, developed questions for the evaluation tool that will be administered to IMET program students as they complete the last course in their education/training. The Defense Institute of Security Assistance Management (DISAM) has automated the education and training evaluation tool and has been tasked by DSCA to implement the evaluation for the IMET program. DISAM will coordinate directly with the respective MILDEP Security Assistance Education and Training Activities and with the military schools, notifying them of students selected to complete the evaluation. As a minimum, the evaluation will be fielded to all intermediate and senior level PME students and a statistical selection of other IMET graduates. Participation in this evaluation is not optional for schools.

The evaluation will be completed online, and should require no more than 30 minutes to complete. A copy of the evaluation is attached.

Chapter 10 of the SAMM is updated with the following changes:

C10.3.4 Security Cooperation Education and Training Program Evaluations.
Schools training IMS under Security Cooperation Programs may be directed to participate in student evaluations of these programs. The Defense Institute of Security Assistance Management (DISAM) will be the DSCA activity conducting these evaluations. DISAM will coordinate directly with military schools and the respective MILDEP Security Assistance Training Activities, notifying them of students selected to complete the evaluation. Participation in this evaluation is not optional for schools.

This change will be included in the automated version of the SAMM found on the DSCA Web Page as SAMM E-Change 87. Questions regarding this policy should be directed to Kay Judkins, DSCA/PGM/BPC, (703) 601-3719 or e-mail: kay.judkins@dsc.mil. The implementation POC at DISAM is Ms. Litsu Rehak at (937) 255-5850 or e-mail: litsu.rehak@disam.dsc.mil. Questions regarding SAMM policy should be directed to Ms. Kathy Robinson, DSCA/STR/POL, at (703) 601-4368 or e-mail: kathy.robinson@dsc.mil.



Jeanne L. Farmer
Principal Director
Programs

Attachments
As stated

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
DEFENSE EXPORTS AND COOPERATION (DASA-DEC)
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS (NAVIPO)
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS (SAF/IA)
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

cc:

STATE/PM-PPA
AFSAT
DISAM
SOCOM
EUCOM
SATFA TRADOC
CENTCOM
NORTHCOM
NETSAFA
PACOM
SOUTHCOM

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 1

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question How much did your training and other experiences in the United States improve your ability to successfully participate (as a member of a military organization in your own country) in a combined military activity with US forces or other multi-national forces?

Answer

1. A great amount.
2. A moderate amount.
3. A small amount.
4. Not at all.
5. No opinion.

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 2

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question Skills and knowledge you received from this training that will help you participate in a combined military operation with U.S. forces include:

Answer

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 3

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question This training met your expectations:

Answer

1. Very well.
2. Somewhat well.
3. Not very well.
4. Not at all well.
5. No opinion.

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 4

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question For your professional development, this training was:

Answer

1. Very valuable
2. Somewhat valuable
3. Not very valuable
4. Not at all valuable
5. No opinion

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 5

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question Specific ways in which this training and experiences in the United States will help advance your career include:

Answer

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 6

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question This training could have been more useful for your professional development if:

Answer

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 7

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question

During this training, I learned _____ about US military terminology.

Answer

1. A great amount
2. Some
3. Not much
4. Nothing
5. No opinion

◀ [Add Question Here](#)

Question 8

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question During this training, I learned _____ about equipment, tactics, and procedures of a US/Multinational Force.

Answer

1. A great amount
2. Some
3. Not much
4. Nothing
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 9

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question During this training, I learned _____ about US military command and control structure and planning.

Answer

1. A great amount
2. Some
3. Not much
4. Nothing
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 10

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question

During training, your view of civilian control of the military was:

Answer

1. Very positive
2. Somewhat positive
3. Somewhat negative
4. Very negative
5. No answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 11

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question
7, based on this training experience, your view of civilian control of the military is:

Answer

1. Very positive
2. Somewhat positive
3. Somewhat negative
4. Very negative
- No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 12

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question Before training, your view of democracy in the United States was:

Answer

1. Very positive
2. Somewhat positive
3. Somewhat negative
4. Very negative
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 13

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question Now, based on this training experience, your view of democracy in the United States is:

Answer

1. Very positive
2. Somewhat positive
3. Somewhat negative
4. Very negative
5. No answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 14

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question Your view of U.S. democracy has changed in the following ways:

Answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 15

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question Before this experience, your knowledge of international human rights standards was:

Answer

1. Extensive
2. Moderate
3. Little
4. Very Limited
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 16

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question Now, based on your training and experience in the United States, your knowledge of international human rights standards is:

Answer

1. Extensive
2. Moderate
3. Limited
4. Very limited
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 17

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question Based on your training and other experiences in the United States, the most important human rights to preserve and defend are:

Answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 18

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question Your training and experience in the United States has improved your understanding of U.S. values:

Answer

1. A great deal
2. A fair amount
3. Not very much
4. Not at all
5. No opinion

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 19

Essay

Modify

Remove

Question This experience has changed your understanding about the United States in the following ways:

Answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 20

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question

The following questions are to help us do a better job assessing the program. Your responses will not be used to identify you

What region are you from?

Answer

- Africa
- Asia
- Europe
- Middle East
- Latin America or Caribbean

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 21

Multiple Choice

Modify

Remove

Question What is your U.S. equivalent military rank?

Answer E1 through E4 (Enlisted)
E5 and E6 (Non-Commissioned Officers)
E7 through E9 (Senior Non-Commissioned Officers)
W1 through W5 (Warrant Officers)
O1 through O3 (Company Grade Officers)
O4 through O6 (Field Grade Officers)
O7 through O10 (Flag Officers)
Government Civilian

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 22 Multiple Choice

Question How many times have you participated in U.S. sponsored military training?

Answer 0
1
2
3
4
5 or more

[◀ Add Question Here](#)

Question 23 Essay

Question Please use the space below to share any other thoughts or comments about how the program could be improved.

Answer

[◀ Add Question Here](#)